From: Marchun, Alan F [Alan.F.Marchun@wv.gov]

Sent: 2/23/2016 8:50:49 PM

To: Ivey, Walter M [Walter.M.Ivey@wv.gov]

CC: Johnson, KarenD [Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov]; rogers, rick [rogers.rick@epa.gov]; Crumlish, Karen

[Crumlish.Karen@epa.gov]

Subject: Re: Martinsburg UCMR3 PFOS

The ANG is served by the Berkeley Co. PSWD Bunker Hill system, 3300202.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 23, 2016, at 7:17 AM, Ivey, Walter M < Walter M. Ivey@wv.gov> wrote:

My guess is that they are on the Martinsburg system. Alan can confirm.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 23, 2016, at 7:01 AM, Johnson, KarenD < Johnson, KarenD@epa.gov> wrote:

Thanks. Do you know anything about the Air National Guard that's stationed there at the airport? Are they on their own water system, or on city water?

From: Ivey, Walter M [mailto:Walter.M.Ivey@wv.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 6:50 AM

To: Johnson, KarenD < Johnson. KarenD@epa.gov>

Cc: rogers, rick < rogers_rick@epa_gov>; Crumlish, Karen < Crumlish.Karen@epa_gov>;

Marchun, Alan F < <u>Alan.F.Marchun@wv.gov</u>> **Subject:** Re: Martinsburg UCMR3 PFOS

I understood that they were individual. Copied Alan if that is not correct.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 23, 2016, at 6:46 AM, Johnson, KarenD < <u>Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Excellent! The table Michelle had exported only showed those where there were detects, therefore, only the three above .04. Thanks for the complete data! Now we know they were are repeatedly sampled and some were below the reportable limits. Are they both individual wells feeding the treatment plants, or are there multiple sources?

From: Ivey, Walter M [mailto:Walter.M.Ivey@wv.gov]

Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 10:21 PM

To: Johnson, KarenD < Johnson.KarenD@epa.gov>; rogers, rick

<rogers.rick@epa.gov>; Vance, Meredith J < Meredith_J.Vance@wv.gov>

Subject: Martinsburg UCMR3 PFOS

Karen, Meredith captured the UCMR3 data and I pulled out Martinsburg info. This seems to show more results than you were seeing. The numbers that you indicated to me seem to match. Does this look correct? I talked to Alan at our Kearneysville Office and he said that both sources are considered GUDI.
Walt

Confidentiality Notice: This message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the individual or entity named above. The message may contain confidential health and/or legally privileged information. If you are not the above-named recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the content of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of the original message.